

ENTERED

July 01, 2021

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

QUIRINO TORRES,

Plaintiff,

VS.

FREDIE BULLOCK, *et al*,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:21-CV-024

**ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION
TO DISMISS CASE FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION**


On May 26, 2021, United States Magistrate Judge Julie K. Hampton issued her “Memorandum and Recommendation to Dismiss Case for Want of Prosecution” (D.E. 25). The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s Memorandum and Recommendation. FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Industries, Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s Memorandum and Recommendation (D.E. 25), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the

findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, this action is
DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE for want of prosecution.

ORDERED this 1st day of July, 2021.



NELVA GONZALES RAMOS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE